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## THE NOBEL PRIZE IN PHYSICS 1999

## PRESS RELEASE 12 OCTOBER 1999

The Prize I Further reading I The laureates

## The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences has awarded the 1999 Nobel Prize in Physics

 jointly toProfessor Gerardus 't Hooft, University of Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands, and
Professor Emeritus Martinus J.G. Veltman, University of Michigan, USA, resident in Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

The two researchers are being awarded the Nobel Prize for having placed particle physics theory on a firmer mathematical foundation. ..

## The Academy's citation:

"for elucidating the quantum structure of electroweak interactions in physics."
...
One person who had not given up hope of being able to renormalize non-abelian gauge theories was Martinus J.G.Veltman. At the end of the 1960s he was a newly appointed professor at the University of Utrecht. Veltman had developed the Schoonschip computer program which, using symbols, performed algebraic simplifications of the complicated expressions that all quantum field theories result in when quantitative calculations are performed. Twenty years earlier, Feynman had indeed systematised the problem of calculation and introduced Feynman diagrams that were rapidly accepted by researchers. But at that time there were no computers. Veltman believed firmly in the possibility of finding a way of renormalizing the theory and his computer program was the cornerstone of the comprehensive work of testing different ideas.

Overview

1. Faster algorithms:
counting bit operations vs. counting arithmetic operations
2. Imprecise inputs With PhD student Markus Hitz
3. Lattice basis reduction
4. Component technology With PhD student Angel Díaz

5. Uncertain results With PhD student Wen-shin Lee

## 1. Linear Algebra

Strassen's [1969] $O\left(n^{2.81}\right)$ matrix multiplication algorithm

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1} \leftarrow\left(a_{1,2}-a_{2,2}\right)\left(b_{2,1}-b_{2,2}\right) \\
& m_{2} \leftarrow\left(a_{1,1}+a_{2,2}\right)\left(b_{1,1}+b_{2,2}\right) \\
& m_{3} \leftarrow\left(a_{1,1}-a_{2,1}\right)\left(b_{1,1}+b_{1,2}\right) \\
& \left.m_{4} \leftarrow\left(a_{1,1}+a_{1,2}\right) b_{2,2}\right) a_{1,1} b_{1,1}+a_{1,2} b_{2,1}=m_{1}+m_{2}-m_{4}+m_{6} \\
& m_{5} \leftarrow a_{1,1}\left(b_{1,2}-b_{2,2}\right) a_{1,1} b_{1,2}+a_{1,2} b_{2,2}=m_{4}+m_{5} \\
& m_{6} \leftarrow a_{2,2}\left(b_{2,1}-b_{1,1}\right) \\
& \left.m_{7} \leftarrow\left(a_{2,1} b_{1,1}+a_{2,2} b_{2,1}=m_{6}+m_{7,2}\right) b_{1,1}\right) \mid a_{2,1} b_{1,2}+a_{2,2} b_{2,2}=m_{2}-m_{3}+m_{5}-m_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problems reducible to matrix multiplication: linear system solving [Bunch and Hopcroft 1974],...
Coppersmith and Winograd [1990]: $O\left(n^{2.38}\right)$

Life after Strassen: black box linear algebra
The black box model of a matrix


Perform linear algebra operations, e.g., $A^{-1} b$ [Wiedemann 86] with

$$
\begin{aligned}
O(n) & \text { black box calls and } \\
n^{2}(\log n)^{O(1)} & \text { arithmetic operations in } \mathbb{K} \text { and } \\
O(n) & \text { intermediate storage for field elements }
\end{aligned}
$$

Flurry of recent results

| Lambert [96], Teitelbaum [98], Eberly \& Kaltofen [97] | relationship of Wiedemann and Lanczos approach |
| :---: | :---: |
| Villard [97] | analysis of block Wiedemann algorithm |
| Giesbrecht [97] and Mulders \& Storjohann [99] | computation of integral solutions |
| Giesbrecht, Lobo \& Saunders [98] | certificates for inconsistency |
| Chen, Eberly, Kaltofen, Saunders, Villard \& Turner [2K] | butterfly network, sparse and diagonal preconditioners |
| Villard [2K] \& Storjohann [01] | characteristic polynomial |

Diophantine solutions by Giesbrecht: Find several rational solutions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A\left(\frac{1}{2} x^{[1]}\right)=b, \quad x^{[1]} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
& A\left(\frac{1}{3} x^{[2]}\right)=b, \quad x^{[2]} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
& \operatorname{gcd}(2,3)=1=2 \cdot 2-1 \cdot 3 \\
& A\left(2 x^{[1]}-x^{[2]}\right)=4 b-3 b=b
\end{aligned}
$$

Life after Strassen: bit complexity
Linear system solving $x=A^{-1} b$ where $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ :

With Strassen [McClellan 1973]:

Step 1: For prime numbers $p_{1}, \ldots p_{k}$ Do Solve $A x^{[j]} \equiv b\left(\bmod p_{j}\right)$ where $x^{[j]} \in \mathbb{Z} /\left(p_{j}\right)$

Step 2: Chinese remainder $x^{[1]}, \ldots, x^{[k]}$ to $A \bar{x} \equiv b\left(\bmod p_{1} \cdots p_{k}\right)$
Step 3: Recover denominators of $x_{i}$ by continued fractions of $\frac{\bar{x}_{i}}{p_{1} \cdots p_{k}}$.

Length of integers: $k=(n \max \{\log \|A\|, \log \|b\|\})^{1+o(1)}$
Bit complexity: $\quad n^{3.38} \max \{\log \|A\|, \log \|b\|\}^{1+o(1)}$

With Hensel lifting [Moenck and Carter 1979, Dixon 1982]:

Step 1: For $j=0,1, \ldots, k$ and a prime $p$ Do
Compute $\bar{x}^{[j]}=x^{[0]}+p x^{[1]}+\cdots+p^{j} x^{[j]} \equiv x\left(\bmod p^{j+1}\right)$
1.a. $b^{[j]}=\frac{b-A \bar{x}^{[j-1]}}{p^{j}}=\frac{b-\left(A \bar{x}^{[j-2]}+A p^{j-1} x^{[j-1]}\right)}{p^{j}}$
1.b. $x^{[j]} \equiv A^{-1} b^{[j]}(\bmod p)$ reusing $A^{-1} \bmod p$

Step 3: Recover denominators of $x_{i}$ by continued fractions of $\frac{\bar{x}_{i}^{[k]}}{p^{k}}$.
With classical matrix arithmetic:
Bit complexity of 1.a: $n(n \max \{\log \|A\|,\|b\|\})^{1+o(1)}+n^{2}(\log \|A\|)^{1+o(1)}$
Total bit complexity: $\left(n^{3} \max \{\log \|A\|, \log \|b\|\}\right)^{1+o(1)}$

Note: the complexity of computing a floating-point solution to a linear system and the complexity of computing the exact solution of a linear system with classical matrix arithmetic are asymptotically the same.

New results:

- bit complexity of the determinant: $O\left(n^{2.697} \log \|A\|\right)$
[Kaltofen \& Villard 2001]
- division-free complexity of determinant: $O\left(n^{2.697}\right)$ additions, subtractions, and multiplications [Kaltofen \& Villard 2001]
- bit complexity of linear systems: $O\left(n^{2.5} \log \max \{\|A\|,\|b\|\}\right)$
[Villard 2001]


## Problem 1

Improve the bit complexity of algorithms for the determinant, resultant, linear system solution, over the integers.
2. Factorization of nearby polynomials over the complex numbers $81 x^{4}+16 y^{4}-648 z^{4}+72 x^{2} y^{2}-648 x^{2}-288 y^{2}+1296=0$


$$
\left(9 x^{2}+4 y^{2}+18 \sqrt{2} z^{2}-36\right)\left(9 x^{2}+4 y^{2}-18 \sqrt{2} z^{2}-36\right)=0
$$

$$
81 x^{4}+16 y^{4}-648.003 z^{4}+72 x^{2} y^{2}+.002 x^{2} z^{2}+.001 y^{2} z^{2}
$$

$$
-648 x^{2}-288 y^{2}-.007 z^{2}+1296=0
$$

Problem 2 [Kaltofen LATIN'92]
Given is a polynomial $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}$.
Decide in polynomial time in the degree and coefficient size if there is a factorizable $\tilde{f}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with

$$
\|f-\tilde{f}\| \leq \epsilon \operatorname{and} \operatorname{deg}(\tilde{f}) \leq \operatorname{deg}(f)
$$

for a reasonable coefficient vector norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Note: fast algorithms are known for exact factorization [Kaltofen 1985, Shuhong Gao 1999]

Theorem [Hitz, Kaltofen, Lakshman ISSAC'99]
We can compute in polynomial time in the degree and coefficient size if there is an $\tilde{f}(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with a factor of a constant degree and $\|f-f\|_{2} \leq \epsilon$.

Numerical algorithms
Conclusion on my exact algorithm [JSC 1985]:
"D. Izraelevitz at Massachusetts Institute of Technology has already implemented a version of algorithm 1 using complex floating point arithmetic. Early experiments indicate that the linear systems computed in step ( $L$ ) tend to be numerically ill-conditioned. How to overcome this numerical problem is an important question which we will investigate."

Stetter, Huang, Wu and Zhi [ISSAC'2K]: Hensel lift factor combinations numerically and eliminate extraneous factors early

Corless, Kotsieras, van Hoeij, Watt [ISSAC'01]: use deformation theory to construct factors numerically

Univariate Problem: Given $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Find $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}[z]$,such that

$$
\tilde{f}(\alpha)=0, \quad \text { and } \quad\|f-\tilde{f}\|=\min
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(z) & =a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{1} z+a_{0} \\
\tilde{f}(z) & =(z-\alpha)\left(u_{n-1} z^{n-1}+u_{n-2} z^{n-2}+\cdots+u_{0}\right) \\
& =u_{n-1} z^{n}+\left(u_{n-2}-\alpha\right) z^{n-1}+\cdots+\left(u_{0}-\alpha u_{1}\right) z-\alpha u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

In terms of linear algebra:

$$
\|f-\tilde{f}\|=\min _{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}}\|\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
-\alpha & & & 0  \tag{1}\\
1 & -\alpha & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
0 & & 1 & -\alpha \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{P}} \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
u_{0} \\
u_{1} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{u}}-\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{0} \\
a_{1} \\
\vdots \\
a_{n-1} \\
a_{n}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{b}}\|
$$

(1) is an over-determined linear system of equations:

Linear program,

$$
\text { if }\|\cdot\| \text { is the }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\infty \text {-norm, or } \\
1 \text {-norm }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Least squares problem, if $\|\cdot\|$ is the 2-norm (Euclidean).

Solutions for the 2-norm in closed form:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\min }(\alpha)=\|f-\tilde{f}\|^{2}=\frac{\overline{f(\alpha)} f(\alpha)}{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(\bar{\alpha} \alpha)^{k}}, \quad f_{j}-\tilde{f}_{j}=\frac{(\bar{\alpha})^{j} f(\alpha)}{\sum_{k=0}^{n}(\bar{\alpha} \alpha)^{k}}
$$

(also derived in Corless et al. [ISSAC'95] via SVD)

## Constraining a Root Locus to a Curve

Let $\Gamma$ be a piecewise smooth curve with finitely many segments, each having a parametrization $\gamma_{k}(t)$ in a single real parameter $t$.

For a given polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, we want to find a minimally perturbed polynomial $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ that has (at least) one root on $\Gamma$.

Parametric Minimization

We substitute the parametrization $\gamma_{k}(t)$ for the indeterminate $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{N} \min (\alpha)$. The resulting expression is a function in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

It attains its minima at its stationary points. We have to compute the real roots of the derivative.

The derivative of the norm-expression is determined symbolically, but the roots can be computed numerically.

Bivariate factorization
Given $f=\sum f_{i, j} x^{i} y^{j} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ absolute irreducible, find

$$
\tilde{f}=\left(c_{0}+c_{1} x+c_{2} y\right) u(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x, y], \quad \operatorname{deg}(\tilde{f}) \leq \operatorname{deg}(f)
$$

such that $\|f-\tilde{f}\|_{2}$ is minimal.
("nearest polynomial with a linear factor").

Approach: minimize parametric least square solution in the real and imaginary parts of the $c_{i}=\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i} \mathbf{i}$.
$\rightarrow$ must minimize least squares solution with 6 parameters.
$\rightarrow$ yields polynomial system with a fixed number of variables, hence polynomial time.
3. Lattice basis reduction

$$
\pi=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^{i}}\left(\frac{4}{8 i+1}-\frac{2}{8 i+4}-\frac{1}{8 i+5}-\frac{1}{8 i+6}\right)
$$

Derivation by lattice reduction [Bailey\&Borwein\&Plouffe 1995]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{y^{k-1}}{1-\frac{y^{8}}{16}} d y=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} y^{k-1}\left(\frac{y^{8}}{16}\right)^{i} d y= & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^{i}} \int_{0}^{1} y^{8 i+k-1} d y \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{16^{i}(8 i+k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Maple takes over
> latt := proc(digits)
> local k, j, v, saved_Digits, ltt;
> saved_Digits := Digits; Digits :=
$>$ digits;
$>$ for $k$ from 1 to 8 do
$>\quad \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{k}]:=$ [];
$>\quad$ for $j$ from 1 to 10 do $v[k]:=[o p(v[k])$,
$>$ 0]; od;
$>\quad \mathrm{v}[\mathrm{k}][\mathrm{k}]:=1$;
$>\mathrm{v}[\mathrm{k}][10]:=\operatorname{trunc}(10 \wedge$ digits $*$ evalf(Int (y^ $(k-1) /(1-y \wedge 8 / 16)$, y=0..1, digits), digits));
$>$ od;
$>\mathrm{v}[9]:=[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1$,
$>\quad \operatorname{trunc}($ evalf(Pi*10^digits,digits+1))];
$>$ ltt := [];
$>$ for $k$ from 1 to 9 do ltt:=[op(ltt),evalm(v[k])];od;
> Digits := saved_Digits;
$>$ RETURN(ltt);
$>$ end:
$>$ L := latt(25);
$L:=[[1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,10071844764146762286447600]$, [ $0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,5064768766674304809559394]$, [ $0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,3392302452451990725155853]$, [ $0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,2554128118829953416027570]$, [ $0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,2050025576364235339441503]$, [ $0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,1713170706664974589667328]$, [ $0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,1472019346726350271955981]$, $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1290770422751423433458478]$, $[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,31415926535897932384626434]]$
> readlib(lattice):
> lattice(L);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[[-4,0,0,2,1,1,0,0,1,5],[0,-8,-4,-4,0,0,1,0,2,5],} \\
& {[-61,582,697,-1253,453,-1003,-347,-396,10,559]} \\
& {[-333,966,324,-1656,-56,784,1131,-351,-27,255],} \\
& {[429,714,-1591,778,-517,-1215,598,362,-87,398]} \\
& {[-1046,-259,-295,-260,1286,393,851,800,252,-1120],} \\
& {[494,906,-380,-1389,1120,1845,-1454,-926,-218,400],} \\
& {[1001,-1099,422,1766,1405,-376,905,-1277,-394,-30],} \\
& [-1144,491,-637,-736,-1261,-680,-1062,-1257,637,-360]] \\
& >\quad \text { g }:=\left(8 * \mathrm{y}+4 * \mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 2+4 * \mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 3-\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 6\right) /\left(1-\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 8 / 16\right) ; \\
& \\
& g:=\frac{8 y+4 y^{2}+4 y^{3}-y^{6}}{1-\frac{1}{16} y^{8}} \\
& >\quad \text { int }(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{y}=0.1) ; \\
& 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

Goldreich\&Goldwasser\&Halevi [1997] public key crypto system

Public key: Lattice basis $B$ (rows $B_{i}$ are basis vectors).

Private key: reduced basis $C$ for lattice spanned by $B$.

Clear text is represented as a vector $x$ with small integer entries.

Encoded message: $y=x+\sum_{i} r_{i} B_{i}$ where $\sum_{i} r_{i} B_{i}$ is a random vector in the lattice.

Decryption based on Babai algorithm [1985] for nearest lattice point: Write $y=\sum_{i} s_{i} C_{i}$ with $s_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $\sum_{i}$ nearest-integer $\left(s_{i}\right) C_{i}$ is a near lattice point, probably $\sum_{i} r_{i} B_{i}$.

## Problem 3

Devise a public key crypto-system that is based on diophantine linear algebra but that is safe from lattice reduction.
4. Plug-and-play systems


Problem solving environ's: end-user can easily custom-make symbolic software

## Example: FoxBox [Díaz and K 1998]

\# Call FoxBox server from Maple
> SymToeQ := BlackBoxSymToe( BBNET_Q, 4, -1, 1.0 ):
> SymToeZP := BlackBoxSymToe( BBNET_ZP, 4, -1, 1.0 ):
> FactorsQ := BlackBoxFactors( BBNET_Q, SymToeQ, Mod, 1.0, Seed ) :
> FactorsZP := BlackBoxHomomorphicMap( BBNET_FACS, FactorsQ, SymToeZP ) :
// construct factors of a symmetric Toeplitz determinant in C++ typedef BlackBoxSymToeDet< SaclibQ, SaclibQX > BBSymToeDetQ;
typedef BlackBoxFactors< SaclibQ, SaclibQX, BBSymToeDetQ > BBFactorsQ;

BBSymToeDetQ SymToeDetQ( N );
BBFactorsQ FactorsQ( SymToeDetQ, Probab, Seed, \&MPCard );

## Plug-and-play

- Standard representation for transfer: MP, OpenMath, MathML
- Byte code for constructing objects vs. parse trees
- Visual programming environments for composition


## Generic Programming

- Common object interface (wrapper classes),
e.g., K : :random_generator (500)
- Storage management vs. garbage collection
- Algorithmic shortcuts into the basic modules
- Parallel distribution of computation
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## Problem 4

Devise a plug-and-play and generic programming methodology for symbolic mathematical computation that is widely adopted by the experts in algorithm design, the commercial symbolic software producers, and the outsider users.

MathML examples courtesy Stephen M. Watt.
5. Will our systems guarantee their answers?

Maple 6 allows calls to NAG numeric library routines

Basic polynomial algorithms with floating point coefficients are under development
> \# Example by Corless and Jeffrey
$>\mathrm{f}:=1 /(\sin (\mathrm{x})+2)$;
$f:=\frac{1}{\sin (x)+2}$
$>g:=\operatorname{int}(f, x)$;
$g:=\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{3} \arctan \left(\frac{1}{3}\left(2 \tan \left(\frac{1}{2} x\right)+1\right) \sqrt{3}\right)$
$>\operatorname{plot}(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{x}=-5 . \mathrm{5})$;


Early termination strategies
Early termination in Newton interpolation
For $i \leftarrow 1,2, \ldots$ Do
Pick random $p_{i}$ and from $f\left(p_{i}\right)$
compute

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f^{[i]}(x) \leftarrow c_{0}+c_{1}\left(x-p_{1}\right)+c_{2}\left(x-p_{1}\right)\left(x-p_{2}\right)+\cdots \\
\equiv f(x) \quad\left(\bmod \left(x-p_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x-p_{i}\right)\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { If } c_{i}=0 \text { stop } .
$$

End For
Threshold $\eta$ :
In order to obtain a better probability, we require $c_{i}=0$ more than once before terminating.

The early termination of Ben-Or/Tiwari's interpolation algorithm.
If $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are chosen randomly and uniformly from a subset $S$ of the domain of values then for the linearly recurrent sequence

$$
a_{i}=f\left(p_{1}^{i}, \ldots, p_{n}^{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots
$$

the Berlekamp/Massey algorithm encounters $\Delta=0$ (when $2 L<r$ ) the first time for $r=2 t+1$ with probability no less than

$$
1-\frac{t(t+1)(2 t+1) \operatorname{deg}(f)}{6 \cdot \operatorname{cardinality}(S)}
$$

where $t$ is the number of terms of $f$.
Threshold $\zeta$ :
In order to obtain a better probability, we require $\Delta=0$ (when $2 L<r)$ more than once before terminating.

Show Maple worksheet now.

## Problem 5

Provide reasonable correctness specifications for our systems in the presence of floating point numbers, randomizations, and multivalued functions.

